Joint Centre for Mesoscale Meteorology, Reading, UK

Holomorphic structures in hydrodynamical models of nearly geostrophic flow

I. Roulstone
V. N. Roubtsov

Internal Report No. 111
NWP Technical Report No. 293

January 2000

Met Office Joint Centre for Mesoscale Meteorology Department of Meteorology
University of Reading PO Box 243 Reading RG6 6BB United Kingdom
Tel: +44 (0)118 931 8425 Fax: +44 (0)118 931 8791
www.metoffice.com

Designed and produced by the Met Office © Crown copyright 2001 01/0240 The Met Office logo is a registered trademark.

Holomorphic structures in hydrodynamical models of nearly geostrophic flow

by

V. N. Roubtsov*
Dept. de Mathématiques,
Université d'Angers,
2, Blvd. Lavoisier, 49045 Angers, France

and

I. Roulstone†
Joint Centre for Mesoscale Meteorology,
University of Reading,
Earley Gate, Reading RG6 6BB, U.K.

© Crown Copyright

28th January, 2000

Abstract

We study complex structures arising in Hamiltonian models of nearly geostrophic flows in hydrodynamics. In many of these models an elliptic Monge-Ampère equation defines the relationship between a 'balanced' velocity field and the potential vorticity, and the Monge-Ampère operator defines an almost-complex structure on the model phase space. In this paper we show that a natural extension of the so-called geostrophic momentum transformation of semi-geostrophic theory, which has a special importance in both theoretical meteorology and numerical weather prediction, defines a special Kähler structure on phase space. Furthermore, analogues of the 'geostrophic momentum coordinates' are shown to be special Lagrangian coordinates under certain conditions which depend upon the physical approximations under consideration.

1 Introduction

The very complicated flow of both the atmosphere and oceans is believed to be described accurately by the classical Navier-Stokes based equations of fluid motion. However, in the asymptotic regimes (parameterized by rotation and stratification) most relevant for weather and climate forecasting, it can be shown that the solutions of the fluid equations stay close to the solutions of much simpler dynamical systems. These approximate models seek to describe flows in which there is a dominant balance between the Coriolis, buoyancy and pressure-gradient forces on fluid particles. Such approximations to Newton's second law are commonly referred to as *balanced models*, and the so-called quasi-geostrophic and semi-geostrophic theories are examples of such models.

Salmon (1983, 1985, 1988) pioneered the systematic derivation of balanced models within the framework of Hamilton's principle. The rationale is to make approximations to the Lagrangian without disturbing the symmetry properties of the functional, thereby ensuring that the resulting

*and I.T.E.P., 117259, Moscow, Russia. volodya@tonton.univ-angers.fr
†iroulstone@meto.gov.uk

model retains approximations to the conservation laws of the Euler equations. In particular, the particle relabelling symmetry corresponds, within the model approximations, to the fundamental meteorological-cum-oceanographic fluid-dynamical principle of conservation of 'potential vorticity'.

The semi-geostrophic model has a particular significance in dynamical meteorology. The reason is that semigeostrophy has certain useful and elegant mathematical properties, in particular Hamiltonian structure, Legendre duality, and the convexity of certain potential functions (Chynoweth and Sewell 1989, 1991; Roulstone and Norbury 1994; Roulstone and Sewell 1997). These permit both robust numerical integration — even in cases where frontal discontinuities form — together with a rather complete knowledge of mathematical properties such as existence and uniqueness of solutions (Cullen and Purser 1984, Purser and Cullen 1987, Cullen *et al.* 1991). Subsequently, quaternionic and Kähler structure has been identified within a class of balanced models in which the relationship between the potential vorticity and a stream function is governed by a Monge-Ampère equation (Roubtsov and Roulstone 1997). McIntyre and Roulstone (2000) address the issue as to whether higher-order corrections to semigeostrophy may be constructed while retaining some of the mathematical features that facilitate the integration of the equations both analytically and numerically. This issue is of practical importance in data assimilation too, given the recent development of schemes based on semi-geostrophic theory (Desroziers 1997). The purpose of this note is to demonstrate the existence of both special Lagrangian and special Kähler structures in models of nearly geostrophic flow. We shall use the shallow water equations as a paradigm for the equations of meteorology and oceanography.

2 Shallow water theory and balanced dynamics

The motion of a typical particle in shallow water theory can be described by expressing the current Cartesian horizontal coordinates

$$x = x(a, b, t), \quad y = y(a, b, t) \tag{1}$$

as functions, on the right, of the particle labels a, b and the time t. The incompressibility hypothesis requires the current depth h to be a function $h(a, b, t)$ with the property

$$\frac{h(a, b, 0)}{h(a, b, t)} = \frac{\partial(x, y)}{\partial(a, b)} , \tag{2}$$

where the Jacobian on the right is that of the mapping (1). The time derivative of (2) following the particle gives the differential equation of continuity.

The equations of horizontal momentum balance for flows over a bed which is rotating with position dependent Coriolis parameter $f(y)$ are

$$\ddot{x} + g\frac{\partial h}{\partial x} - \dot{y}f = 0, \quad \ddot{y} + g\frac{\partial h}{\partial y} + \dot{x}f = 0 . \tag{3}$$

Here g is a given constant, representing the combined effect of the acceleration due to gravity and a centrifugal component due to the Earth's rotation and the superposed dot denotes the time derivative following a particle.

Another important kinematical concept is the so-called potential vorticity defined by

$$\xi = \frac{1}{h}\left(\frac{\partial \dot{y}}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial \dot{x}}{\partial y} + f\right), \tag{4}$$

which is conserved on particles. Common choices of the Coriolis parameter are $f =$ *constant* or βy, as approximations to $2\Omega \sin\phi$, depending on purpose. Here β and Ω are constants (related to the spin of the Earth), and ϕ is latitude. (Note, to avoid confusion, that Ω will be used to denote a symplectic structure later in the paper.)

The semi-geostrophic approximation to equations (3), in the case when f is a constant, is the replacement of the true acceleration by the time derivative of another vector

$$u_g = -\frac{g}{f}\frac{\partial h}{\partial y}, \quad v_g = \frac{g}{f}\frac{\partial h}{\partial x}, \tag{5}$$

following the particle. This vector is a notional velocity, called the geostrophic velocity. The semi-geostrophic approximation seeks to find motions satisfying

$$\dot{u}_g + g\frac{\partial h}{\partial x} - \dot{y}f = 0, \quad \dot{v}_g + g\frac{\partial h}{\partial y} + \dot{x}f = 0. \tag{6}$$

Associated with these equations, the potential vorticity

$$\Xi = \frac{1}{h}\left[f + \frac{\partial v_g}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial u_g}{\partial y} + \frac{1}{f}\frac{\partial(u_g, v_g)}{\partial(x,y)}\right], \tag{7}$$

is conserved.

We introduce a transformation of coordinates

$$X = x + \frac{g}{f^2}\frac{\partial h}{\partial x}, \quad Y = y + \frac{g}{f^2}\frac{\partial h}{\partial y}. \tag{8}$$

For a thorough discussion of this transformation, including its Legendre and contact properties, see Roulstone and Sewell (1997). The coordinates (X, Y) are called geostrophic coordinates because, when f is a constant, $\dot{X} = u_g, \dot{Y} = v_g$. Salmon (1985) studied certain *generalized* semi-geostrophic equations with pseudo-Hamiltonian form in (X, Y)-space, namely

$$\dot{X} = -\frac{1}{f}\frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial Y}, \quad \dot{Y} = \frac{1}{f}\frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial X}, \tag{9}$$

where

$$\Phi(X, Y, t) = \frac{1}{2}(u_g^2 + v_g^2) + gh. \tag{10}$$

In these coordinates the potential vorticity takes the Jacobian form

$$\Xi = \frac{f}{h}\frac{\partial(X,Y)}{\partial(x,y)} = \frac{1}{h}\left[f + \frac{\partial v_g}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial u_g}{\partial y} + \frac{1}{f}\frac{\partial(u_g, v_g)}{\partial(x,y)}\right]. \tag{11}$$

The definition (11) can be rewritten as

$$\Xi = \frac{gf}{(H - \frac{1}{2}f(x^2+y^2))}\begin{vmatrix} \frac{\partial^2 H}{\partial x^2} & \frac{\partial^2 H}{\partial x \partial y} \\ \frac{\partial^2 H}{\partial y \partial x} & \frac{\partial^2 H}{\partial y^2} \end{vmatrix}, \tag{12}$$

where $H = \frac{1}{2}f(x^2 + y^2) + gh$. This is the starting point for a Monge-Ampère type of equation in which $H(x, y, t)$ is the unknown, to be found in conjunction with suitable boundary conditions. Roubtsov and Roulstone (1997) show how the Monge-Ampère equation (12) can be expressed in terms of a 2-form restricted to the graph of h.

McIntyre and Roulstone (1996) studied a family of balanced models, all of which possess a 'Monge-Ampère/stream function' relationship, like the semi-geostrophic equations above, between the potential vorticity and the depth h. These balanced models are termed 'near-local' because they can be derived using the framework of constrained Hamiltonian systems (Salmon 1988; McIntyre and Roulstone *op. cit.*) in which the constraints depend upon the depth function and a finite number of its derivatives with respect to x and y. No non-local constraints are involved. Canonical coordinates, which should be considered as analogues of (8), were discovered together with an associated complex structure, and this was formulated in terms of quaternions by Roubtsov and Roulstone (1997).

3 Complex structures

Following McIntyre and Roulstone (1996), Roubtsov and Roulstone (1997) introduce the following notation for canonical coordinates for near-local balanced models

$$X = x + icq + ap, \quad Y = y - icp + aq, \tag{13}$$

where $a, c \in \mathbb{R}$, $i = \sqrt{-1}$ and $(p, q) = (\partial h/\partial x, \partial h/\partial y)$. McIntyre and Roulstone (*op. cit.*) show that the materially conserved potential vorticity, Ξ, can always be expressed in Jacobian form (cf. (11))

$$\Xi = \frac{f}{h}\frac{\partial(X,Y)}{\partial(x,y)} . \tag{14}$$

The choice of parameters a and c determines the form of the potential vorticity (and vice-versa). This choice is fixed by the physical considerations of the problem, but we shall find that the expressions for the coordinates in (13) is subject to certain restrictions if a holomorphic structure is desired.

One can readily show that

$$\frac{\partial(X,\overline{X},Y,\overline{Y})}{\partial(x,y,p,q)} = 4c^2, \tag{15}$$

and we find

$$p = \frac{i}{2c}\left(Y - \overline{Y}\right) , \quad q = -\frac{i}{2c}\left(X - \overline{X}\right) , \tag{16}$$

$$x = \tfrac{1}{2}\left(X + \overline{X}\right) - \frac{ia}{2c}\left(Y - \overline{Y}\right) , \quad y = \tfrac{1}{2}\left(Y + \overline{Y}\right) + \frac{ia}{2c}\left(X - \overline{X}\right) . \tag{17}$$

It follows that

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial X} = \tfrac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \frac{ia}{c}\frac{\partial}{\partial y} - \frac{i}{c}\frac{\partial}{\partial q}\right), \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial Y} = \tfrac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y} - \frac{ia}{c}\frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \frac{i}{c}\frac{\partial}{\partial p}\right). \tag{18}$$

In an attempt to describe the coordinates (13) in terms of a transformation similar to (8), Roubtsov and Roulstone (1997) made the following choice of coordinates on $\mathbb{C}^2$:

$$z = x + icq, w = y - icp. \tag{19}$$

This non-standard choice provides the following expression for the canonical symplectic 2-form on $\mathbb{R}^4$:

$$\Omega \equiv \mathrm{d}x \wedge \mathrm{d}p + \mathrm{d}y \wedge \mathrm{d}q = \frac{i}{2c}(\mathrm{d}z \wedge \mathrm{d}w - \mathrm{d}\overline{z} \wedge \mathrm{d}\overline{w}), \tag{20}$$

which is not a (1,1)-form in the coordinates z, w, and hence is not a Kähler structure. This choice was motivated by the observation that the coordinates (13) result from the following transformation $\mathbb{C}^2 \mapsto \mathbb{C}^2$ (cf. (8)):

$$(z, w) \mapsto (X, Y). \tag{21}$$

The canonical 2-form is

$$\Omega = \frac{i}{2c}(\mathrm{d}X \wedge \mathrm{d}Y - \mathrm{d}\overline{X} \wedge \mathrm{d}\overline{Y}). \tag{22}$$

We argue, however, that the more natural choice of the coordinates on the phase space of near-local balanced models is

$$Z \equiv X, \quad W \equiv \overline{Y},$$

because there exists a Kähler structure in this representation. That is, we wish to place more emphasis on the complex structure than on the Jacobian relationship (14) between Ξ and (X, Y).

The canonical structure in the coordinates (Z, W) is evidently a (1,1)-form

$$\Omega = \frac{i}{2c}(\mathrm{d}Z \wedge \mathrm{d}\overline{W} + \mathrm{d}W \wedge \mathrm{d}\overline{Z}) \tag{23}$$

and can be used to define a Kähler structure of the model. The second argument (see §4.1) for adopting the coordinates (Z, W) is that they can be considered as an example of *special Lagrangian* coordinates on the initial phase space (spanned by (z, w)) with *deformed* complex structure in accordance with the prescriptions for their existence given by Hitchin (1997) (see also Gross 1998).

The holomorphic (2,0)-form $\mathrm{d}Z \wedge \mathrm{d}W$ is expressed in the original coordinates as

$$\mathrm{d}Z\wedge\mathrm{d}W = (\mathrm{d}x+a\mathrm{d}p)\wedge(\mathrm{d}\overline{w}+a\mathrm{d}q) = \mathrm{d}x\wedge\mathrm{d}y+a(\mathrm{d}x\wedge\mathrm{d}q+\mathrm{d}p\wedge\mathrm{d}y)+ic(\mathrm{d}x\wedge\mathrm{d}p+\mathrm{d}q\wedge\mathrm{d}y)+(a^2+c^2)\mathrm{d}p\wedge\mathrm{d}q. \tag{24}$$

We will see below that there is essentially the only possible choice of the structure once we fix the elliptic Monge-Ampère operator for the balanced model.

The matrix $\mathcal{B}$ (see (33) *et seq.*) of the 'momentum' part of the transformation

$$\mathcal{P} \equiv ap + icq, \quad \mathcal{Q} \equiv icp + aq, \tag{25}$$

has a diagonal real part and symmetric imaginary part, and hence the coordinates (Z, W) obey, trivially, the prescriptions to be special Lagrangian. We recall that this property means that there is an integrable almost-complex structure on the phase space of the model which induces a flat metric such that the cotangent components $\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}$, (which are evidently Lagrangian) are also of "minimal volume" with respect to this metric. These conditions are discussed in detail in Theorem 5.2 of Gross (1998).

This observation shows that the phase space of the near-local balanced models with the coordinates (Z, W) can be considered as a Lagrangian fibration over the base manifold with the local coordinates (x, y). We will discuss below a possible extension of this point of view.

4 Special Kähler structure

There exists a (complex-valued) generating function

$$S = icpq + \tfrac{1}{2}a(p^2 + q^2) \tag{26}$$

such that

$$Z - x = \frac{\partial S}{\partial p} = icq + ap, \quad W - y = \frac{\partial S}{\partial q} = icp + aq. \tag{27}$$

Let us consider a potential function $\Phi = \phi + S$. Direct verification shows that the function Φ is holomorphic in the coordinates (Z, W):

$$\frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \overline{Z}} = 0, \ \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \overline{W}} = 0, \tag{28}$$

and

$$\frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial Z} = p, \ \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial W} = q. \tag{29}$$

Now we will show that this function can be used as a ***holomorphic prepotential*** of special Kähler structure (Freed 1999) on the phase space of our models. Indeed, from the derivatives of the potential function Φ we can construct the matrix

$$\|\tau_{ij}\| = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial^2 \Phi}{\partial Z^2} & \frac{\partial^2 \Phi}{\partial Z \partial W} \\ \frac{\partial^2 \Phi}{\partial W \partial Z} & \frac{\partial^2 \Phi}{\partial W^2} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\frac{i}{2c} \\ -\frac{i}{2c} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

and a real function

$$K = \frac{1}{2} Im\left(\frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial Z}\overline{Z} + \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial W}\overline{W}\right) = -cpq.$$

So we see that the Kähler potential is (up to the -1) an imaginary part of our holomorphic potential Φ and as usual our canonical form is

$$\Omega = i\partial\partial K = \frac{i}{2} Im(\tau_{ij}) \mathrm{d}u_i \wedge \mathrm{d}\overline{u}_j,$$

where $u_1 = Z, u_2 = W$. This simple example is a special Kähler geometry on the cotangent bundle to $\mathbb{R}^2$ slightly deformed by the transformation (21).

An important consequence of this observation is that the potential $K = -cpq$ leads to a flat *pseudo-metric* on the Lagrangian fibres of the initial cotangent bundle complimentary to $\mathbb{R}^2$. This fact is relevant to an observation that we can associate a (2,2)-pseudometric with any generic Monge-Ampère operator on a 4-dimensional symplectic manifold which admits a Lagrangian fibration (in particular for $T^*M, dim_{\mathbb{R}} M = 2$).

4.1 A link to Monge-Ampère operators

Let Ω be the canonical symplectic form and ω be a nondegenerate 2-form on $\mathbb{R}^4$ representing an elliptic Monge-Ampère operator (Lychagin 1979, Roubtsov and Roulstone 1997). This means that we have an almost-complex structure on $\mathbb{R}^4$ whose integrability conditions (vanishing of the Nijenhuis tensor) is equivalent to the condition that the form ω, by a (conformal) symplectomorphism of $\mathbb{R}^4$, is reduced to a form with constant coefficients and its Pffafian is equal to 1 (Lychagin *et al.* 1993).

We can choose a holomorphic (2,0)-form corresponding to this complex structure in such a way that

$$\mathrm{d}\tilde{Z} \wedge \mathrm{d}\tilde{W} = \omega - i\Omega. \tag{30}$$

Now we can address the following question: what freedom of choice is there in the special Lagrangian coordinates $(\tilde{Z}, \tilde{W})$ on the phase space such that the underlying almost-complex structure is given by an elliptic Monge-Ampère operator as above? We shall show that the answer places a restriction on the choice of parameters of the model (a and c in expression (13)) which are defined by the asymptotic regime (balanced approximation to (4)) to be modelled.

For an elliptic Monge-Ampère operator with real coefficients

$$\omega = \alpha \mathrm{d}p \wedge \mathrm{d}y + \beta(\mathrm{d}q \wedge \mathrm{d}y - \mathrm{d}p \wedge \mathrm{d}x) + \gamma \mathrm{d}x \wedge \mathrm{d}q + \delta \mathrm{d}x \wedge \mathrm{d}y + \phi \mathrm{d}p \wedge \mathrm{d}q, \tag{31}$$

the Pfaffian

$$Pf(\omega) = \frac{\omega \wedge \omega}{\Omega \wedge \Omega} = \alpha\gamma + \beta^2 - \delta\phi > 0. \tag{32}$$

The coordinates $(\tilde{Z}, \tilde{W})$ can be re-expressed using the coefficients of (31)

$$\tilde{Z} = \alpha_1 x + \beta_{11} p + \beta_{12} q, \quad \tilde{W} = \alpha_2 y + \beta_{21} p + \beta_{22} q, \tag{33}$$

where

$$\beta_{11} = \alpha; \beta_{22} = \gamma, \beta_{12} = \beta + i, \beta_{21} = \beta - i, \alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = \delta. \tag{34}$$

We compute

$$\det \mathcal{B} = \begin{vmatrix} \beta_{11} & \beta_{12} \\ \beta_{21} & \beta_{22} \end{vmatrix} = \alpha\gamma - (\beta^2 + 1)$$

and comparing with (31), we obtain $\det \mathcal{B} = \phi$. Then, using a conformal rescaling by the Pfaffian we can suppose that, up to a symplectomorphism of $\mathbb{R}^4$, either δ or ϕ can be chosen equal to 1. It is then easy to see, from (30), that in the choice we have for the coordinates $\tilde{Z}, \tilde{W}$, after imposing ellipticity and the conformal choice of $\phi = 1$, there remains only two degrees of freedom — the dependence on δ and α (or on δ and γ).

As the example of this ideology let us consider the Monge-Ampère operators associated with the transformations (13) and (21). We have the 2-form

$$\mathrm{d}X \wedge \mathrm{d}Y = \mathrm{d}x \wedge \mathrm{d}y + (a^2 - c^2)\mathrm{d}p \wedge \mathrm{d}q + a(\mathrm{d}x \wedge \mathrm{d}q + \mathrm{d}p \wedge \mathrm{d}y) - ic(\mathrm{d}x \wedge \mathrm{d}p + \mathrm{d}y \wedge \mathrm{d}q).$$

The *effective* part of this form which corresponds to the Monge-Ampère operator (Lychagin 1979) is the real part, $\Re(dX \wedge dY)$, of $\mathrm{d}X \wedge \mathrm{d}Y$. In our notation, (31), $\alpha = \gamma = a, \phi = a^2 - c^2, \delta = 1$. Hence, the Pfaffian $Pf(\Re(dX \wedge dY)) = c^2$ and we obtain an elliptic Monge-Ampère operator together with a *new* (conformal) almost complex structure on $\mathbb{R}^4$ which was implicit in the McIntyre and Roulstone (1996) description of balanced models:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} &\mapsto a\frac{\partial}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial}{\partial q}, \qquad \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \mapsto -a\frac{\partial}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial}{\partial p}, \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial p} &\mapsto -(a^2 - c^2)\frac{\partial}{\partial y} - a\frac{\partial}{\partial q}, \qquad \frac{\partial}{\partial q} \mapsto (a^2 - c^2)\frac{\partial}{\partial x} + a\frac{\partial}{\partial p}. \end{aligned} \tag{35}$$

This structure is obviously integrable in the constant coefficient case.

We can associate the form $\Re(\mathrm{d}X \wedge \mathrm{d}Y)$ (and the associated Monge-Ampère operator) with its "dual" counterpart, $\mathcal{J}$, by using the above mentioned (2,2)-pseudo-metric associated with $\Re(\mathrm{d}X \wedge \mathrm{d}Y)$:

$$\mathcal{J} = -2\mathrm{d}p \wedge \mathrm{d}q + \frac{2(a^2 + c^2)}{(a^2 - c^2)^2}\mathrm{d}x \wedge \mathrm{d}y - \frac{2a}{a^2 - c^2}(\mathrm{d}x \wedge \mathrm{d}q + \mathrm{d}p \wedge \mathrm{d}y)$$

with the Pfaffian

$$Pf(\mathcal{J}) = -\frac{4c^2}{(a^2-c^2)^2}.$$

It is clear that this "dual" form exists iff $a^2 \neq c^2$. The singular values of the parameters correspond to a degeneration of the pseudo-metric.

On the other hand, for the "deformed" coordinates Z, W, the analogous calculations give us two *hyperbolic* Monge-Ampère operators, which correspond to two effective 2-forms ω_1, ω_2, such that

$$\mathrm{d}Z \wedge \mathrm{d}W = \omega_1 + i\omega_2.$$

Their Pfaffians are equal: $Pf(\omega_1) = Pf(\omega_2) = -c^2$, and hence they define *symplectically equivalent* Monge-Ampère operators. The choice of the parameters $a = 1, c = \sqrt{3}$ in the McIntyre-Roulstone model implies that the "dual" hyperbolic operator which corresponds to the form $\mathcal{J}$ is symplectically equivalent to both operators defined by the pair ω_1, ω_2. Such "duality" is the subject of ongoing research.

We should make a further remark about these special Lagrangian coordinates. As discussed by Hitchin (1997) and Gross (1998), the salient feature of their existence is the question of the integrability to the corresponding almost-complex structure. This question looks trivial in the "linear" approximation we have discussed here, though the theorem of S. Lie in the form of Lychagin and Roubtsov (1983) gives the integrability conditions in terms of

$$\mathrm{d}\omega = \tfrac{1}{2}\mathrm{d}\log|Pf(\omega)| \wedge \omega \tag{36}$$

for the corresponding effective Monge-Ampère form (another version of this statement is known also as "Hitchin's lemma" (see Hitchin 1987). The foregoing special Lagrangian structure has relied on ω, in (31), having constant coefficients. Some interesting cases when this is not so are the subject of a further study.

4.2 A contact transformation

Finally, we show how another property of the semi-geostrophic equations, namely a contact structure (Purser 1993; Roulstone and Sewell 1997; Sewell and Roulstone 1994), generalizes to other near-local balanced models.

We can introduce a "semi-holomorphic" contact bundle corresponding to the coordinates (Z, W) with local coordinates $(Z, W; \Phi; P, Q)$, where $\Phi = \phi + S$ and

$$P = \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial Z} = p,\ Q = \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial W} = q. \tag{37}$$

One can show that

$$\mathrm{d}\Phi = \mathrm{d}\phi + \mathrm{d}S = \mathrm{d}\phi + ic(p\mathrm{d}q + q\mathrm{d}p) + a(p\mathrm{d}p + q\mathrm{d}q), \tag{38}$$

and furthermore

$$\mathrm{d}\Phi - \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial Z}\mathrm{d}Z - \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial W}\mathrm{d}W = \mathrm{d}\phi - p\mathrm{d}x - q\mathrm{d}y. \tag{39}$$

This is a "contactification" of the conformal symplectomorphism (23).

5 Summary

This work is a contribution to the ongoing research into balanced models in geophysical fluid dynamics, in which the objective is to understand the properties of the equations that assist solution strategies. In particular, the Kähler structure associated with a Monge-Ampère equation facilitates an understanding as to why complex coordinates arise in a natural way in these models — something that would be difficult to deduce from the physics alone. We have shown that a natural generalization (13) of the so-called geostrophic momentum transformation (8) of semi-geostrophic theory, discovered by McIntyre and Roulstone (1996), possesses a special Lagrangian and special

Kähler structure. These features may be of practical importance too, if one considers the possibility of using higher-order balance conditions in data assimilation schemes (e.g. Desroziers 1997).

Acknowledgements

The authors are grateful to Professor H.J. Hitchin for useful discussions. The work was supported by the European Science Exchange Programme Joint Project Scheme under the cooperation between the Royal Society of London and the Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique.

References

Chynoweth, S. and Sewell, M.J. (1989) Dual variables in semi-geostrophic theory. *Proc. R. Soc. Lond.* A **424**, 155-186.

Chynoweth, S. and Sewell, M.J. (1991) A concise derivation of the semi-geostrophic equations. *Q. J. R. Meteorol. Soc.*, **117**, 1109-1128.

Cullen, M.J.P., Norbury, J. and Purser, R.J. (1991) Generalised Lagrangian solutions for atmospheric and oceanic flows. *Siam J. Appl. Math.*, **51**, 20-31.

Cullen, M.J.P. and Purser, R.J. (1984) An extended Lagrangian theory of semi-geostrophic frontogenesis. *J. Atmos. Sci.*, **41**, 1477-1497.

Desroziers, G. (1997) A coordinate change for data assimilation in spherical geometry of frontal structures. *Mon. Wea. Rev.*, **125**, 3030-3038.

Freed, D.S. (1999) Special Kähler manifolds. *Commun. Math. Phys.*, **203**, 31-52.

Gross, M. (1998) Special Lagrangian fibrations II: geometry. To appear in *Surv. in Differential Geom.* (mathAG/9809072)

Hitchin, N.J. (1987) Monopoles, minimal surfaces and algebraic curves. *N.A.T.O. Advanced Study Institute*, **105**, L'Université de Montréal.

Hitchin, N.J. (1997) The moduli space of special Lagrangian submanifolds. *Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci.*, **25**, 503-515.

Lychagin, V.V. (1979) Non-linear differential equations and contact geometry. *Russian Math. Surveys*, **34:1**, 137-165.

Lychagin, V.V. and Roubtsov, V.N. (1983) On Sophus Lie theorems for Monge-Ampère equations (in Russian). *Doklady Bielorussian Academy of Science*, **27:5**, 396-398.

Lychagin, V.V., Roubtsov, V.N. and Chekalov, I.V. (1993) A classification of Monge-Ampère equations. *Ann. Scient. Ec. Norm. Sup.*, 4^e série, **26**, 281-308.

McIntyre, M.E. and Roulstone, I. (1996) Hamiltonian balanced models: constraints, slow manifolds and velocity splitting. *Forecasting Research Scientific Paper 41, Meteorological Office, U.K.* submitted to *J. Fluid Mech.*

McIntyre, M.E. and Roulstone, I. (2000) Are there higher-accuracy analogues of semi-geostrophic theory? To appear in *Mathematical Modelling of Atmosphere and Ocean Dynamics*, I. Roulstone and J. Norbury (eds.), C.U.P.

Purser, R.J. (1993) Contact transformations and Hamiltonian dynamics in generalized semi-geostrophic theories. *J. Atmos. Sci.*, **50**, 1449-1468.

Purser, R.J. and Cullen, M.J.P. (1987) A duality principle in semi-geostrophic theory. *J. Atmos. Sci.* **44**, 3449-3468.

Roubtsov, V.N. and Roulstone, I. (1997) Examples of quaternionic and Kähler structures in Hamiltonian models of nearly geostrophic flow. *J. Phys. A.* **30**, L63-L68.

Roulstone, I. and Norbury, J. (1994). A Hamiltonian structure with contact geometry for the semi-geostrophic equations. *J. Fluid Mech.*, **272**, 211-233.

Roulstone, I. and Sewell, M.J. (1997) The mathematical structure of theories of semi-geostrophic type. *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. Lond.* **355**, 2489-2517.

Salmon, R. (1983) Practical use of Hamilton's principle. *J. Fluid Mech.*, **132**, 431–444.

Salmon, R. (1985) New equations for nearly geostrophic flow. *J. Fluid Mech.*, **153**, 461-477.

Salmon, R. (1988) Semi-geostrophic theory as a Dirac bracket projection. *J. Fluid Mech.*, **196**, 345-358.

Sewell, M.J. and Roulstone, I. (1994) Families of lift and contact transformations. *Proc. R. Soc. Lond.*, A **447**, 493-512.

CURRENT JCMM INTERNAL REPORTS

This series of JCMM Internal Reports, initiated in 1993, contains unpublished reports and also versions of articles submitted for publication. The complete set of Internal Reports is available from the National Meteorological Library on loan, if required.

1. **Research strategy and programme**
   K A Browning et al
   January 1993

2. **The GEWEX Cloud System Study (GCSS)**
   GEWEX Cloud System Science Team
   January 1993

3. **Evolution of a mesoscale upper tropospheric vorticity maximum and comma cloud from a cloud-free two dimensional potential vorticity anomaly**
   K A Browning
   January 1993

4. **The global energy and water cycle**
   K A Browning
   July 1993

5. **Structure of a midlatitude cyclone before occlusion**
   K A Browning and N Roberts
   July 1993

6. **Developments in systems and tools for weather forecasting**
   K A Browning and G Szejwach
   July 1993

7. **Diagnostic study of a narrow cold frontal rainband and severe winds associated with a stratospheric intrusion**
   K A Browning and R Reynolds
   August 1993

8. **Survey of perceived priority issues in the parametrizations of cloud-related processes in GCMs**
   K A Browning
   September 1993

9. **The effect of rain on longwave radiation**
   I Dharssi
   September 1993

10. **Cloud microphysical processes - a description of the parametrization used in the large eddy model**
    H Swann
    October 1993

11. **An appreciation of the meteorological research of Ernst Kleinschmidt**
    A J Thorpe
    May 1992

12. **Potential vorticity of flow along the Alps**
    A J Thorpe, H Volkert and Dietrich Heimann
    August 1992

13. **The representation of fronts**
    A J Thorpe
    January 1993

14. **A parametrization scheme for symmetric instability: test for an idealised flow**
C S Chan and A J Thorpe
February 1993

15. **The Fronts 92 Experiment: a Quicklook Atlas**
Edited by T D Hewson
November 1993

16. **Frontal wave stability during moist deformation frontogenesis**
Part 1: Linear wave dynamics
C H Bishop and A J Thorpe
May 1993

17. **Frontal wave stability during moist deformation frontogenesis**
Part 2. The suppression of non-linear wave development
C H Bishop and A J Thorpe
May 1993

18. **Gravity waves in sheared ducts**
S Monserrat and A J Thorpe
October 1993

19. **Potential vorticity and the electrostatics analogy: quasi-geostrophic theory**
C Bishop and A J Thorpe
November 1993

20. **Recent advances in the measurement of precipitation by radar**
A J Illingworth
April 1993

21. **Micro-physique et givrage: cloud microphysics and aircraft icing**
A J Illingworth
May 1993

22. **Differential phase measurements of precipitation**
M Blackman and A J Illingworth
May 1993

23. **Estimation of effective radius of cloud particles from the radar reflectivity**
N I Fox and A J Illingworth
May 1993

24. **A simple method of Dopplerising a pulsed magnetron radar**
A Hua, A J Illingworth and J Eastment
November 1993

25. **Radiation and polar lows**
George C Craig
February 1994

26. **Collected preprints submitted to the International Symposium on the Life Cycles of Extratropical Cyclones; Bergen, Norway, 27 June - 1 July 1994**
April 1994

27. **Convective frontogenesis**
Douglas J Parker and Alan J Thorpe
April 1994

28. **Improved measurement of the ice water content in cirrus using a total water evaporator**
Philip R A Brown and Peter N Francis
April 1994

29. **Mesoscale effects of a dry intrusion within a vigorous cyclone**
K A Browning and B W Golding
April 1994

30. **GEWEX Cloud System Study, Science Plan**
May 1994

31. **Parametrization of momentum transport by convectively generated gravity waves**
R Kershaw
May 1994

32. **Mesoscale Modelling Newsletter No 5**
May 1994

33. **Observations of the mesoscale sub-structure in the cold air of a developing frontal cyclone**
K A Browning, S A Clough, C S A Davitt, N M Roberts and T D Hewson
May 1994

34. **Longwave radiative forcing of a simulated tropical squall line**
Imtiaz Dharssi
July 1994

35. **On the nature of the convective circulation at a kata-cold front**
K A Browning
September 1994

36. **Collective preprints of papers submitted to the COST-75 International Seminar on Advanced Weather Radar Systems, Brussels, 20-23 September 1994**
November 1994

37. **Use of satellite imagery to diagnose events leading to frontal thunderstorms: Parts I and II of a case study**
K A Browning and N M Roberts
November 1994

38. **The role of spaceborne millimetre-wave radar in the global monitoring of ice cloud**
P R A Brown, A J Illingworth, A J Heymsfield, G M McFarquhar, K A Browning and M Gosset
December 1994

39. **Potential vorticity inversion**
Michal Ziemianski
October 1994

40. **Theory of mature tropical cyclones; a comparison between Kleinschmidt (1951) and Emanuel (1986)**
Suzanne L Gray
December 1994

41. **Dispersion and removal of pollutants during the passage of an atmospheric frontal system**
N R Gimson
December 1994

42. **The role of snow sublimation in frontogenesis**
D J Parker and A J Thorpe
December 1994

43. **Potential vorticity and the electrostatics Analogy: Ertel-Rossby formulation**
A J Thorpe and C H Bishop
December 1994

44. **Mesoscale aspect of extratropical cyclones: an observational perspective**
K A Browning
January 1995

45. **A polar low outbreak: evolution and mesoscale structures**
T D Hewson, G C Craig and C Claud
February 1995

46. **Generation of near-surface gusts of deep convection**
K Nakamura and R Kershaw
April 1995

47. **Attribution concepts applied to the Omega Equation**
S A Clough, C S A Davitt and A J Thorpe
June 1995

48. **Parametrization of mixed-phase cloud and precipitation**
Susan P Ballard and M Gerard Hutchinson
June 1995

49. **Short-range forecasting of stratocumulus: initialization prediction**
Susan P Ballard, Simon D Jackson and B Macpherson
June 1995

50. **Contributions of the JCMM/Dept of Meteorology Radar Group to the 27th AMS Radar Meteorology Conference, Vail October 1995**
June 1995

51. **Cold pools in shear**
Douglas J Parker
September 1995

52. **High-resolution analysis of frontal fracture**
K A Browning, S P Ballard and C S A Davitt
September 1995

53. **The albedo of broken cloud fields: calculations using a modified two stream radiation code**
Imtiaz Dharssi and Roy Kershaw
October 1995

54. **Parametrization of momentum transport by convection. I: theory and cloud modelling results**
R Kershaw and D Gregory
November 1995

55. **Variation of frontal structure along a cold front**
K A Browning and N M Roberts
November 1995

56. **Secondary frontal waves**
Douglas Parker
December 1995

57. **Objective fronts**
T D Hewson
March 1996

58. **Mesoscale analysis of the activation of a cold front during cyclogenesis**
K A Browning, N M Roberts and A J Illingworth
June 1996

59. **Investigation of different representations of rain in a 1-d evaporation model**
H Swann
May 1996

60. **Parametrization of diabatic processes in the inversion of the omega-equation**
M Athanassiadou and A J Thorpe
June 1996

61. **The role of the environmental flow in the development of secondary frontal cyclones**
I A Renfrew, A J Thorpe and A Joly
June 1996

62. **Consequences of the geostrophic momentum approximation on barotropic instability**
S Malardel, A J Thorpe and A Joly
June 1996

63. **Contributions to the AMS/RMS Conference on Mesoscale Processes**
Reading, 9-13 September 1996

64. **Vorticity and potential vorticity in stratified flows interacting with an isolated obstacle**
Jorge A Gutiérrez and A J Thorpe
September 1996

65. **Triple point development: two case studies**
Douglas Parker
September 1996

66. **Radar observations of wind-shear splitting within evolving atmospheric Kelvin-Helmholtz billows**
D Chapman and K A Browning
April 1997

67. **Cold frontal structure derived from radar wind profilers**
K A Browning, D Jerrett, J Nash, T Oakley and N M Roberts
May 1997

68. **The dry intrusion and its effect on the frontal, cloud and precipitation structure of extratropical cyclones**
K A Browning - Text of invited special lecture at the Spring Conference
of the Meteorological Society of Japan, University of Tsukuba, 22 May 1997

69. **Met. Office Unified Model data, diagnostics, graphics programs, and other observational data available from the JCMM through the aegis of the Universities Weather Research Network (UWERN).** (Plus supplement)
Peter Panagi and Ed Dicks
June 1997

70. **Contributions of the JCMM/Dept of Meteorology Radar Group to the 28th AMS Radar Meteorology Conference.** Austin, Texas
September 1997

71. **Sensitivity of short-range weather forecasts to local potential vorticity modifications**
Meral Demirtas and Alan Thorpe
July 1997

72. **Objective identification of frontal wave cyclones**
T D Hewson
August 1997

73. **Attribution and its application to mesoscale structure associated with tropopause folds**
A J Thorpe
September 1997

74. **The role of the environmental flow in the development of secondary frontal cyclones**
Ian A Renfrew, Alan J Thorpe and Craig H Bishop
September 1997

75. **Potential vorticity: a short history of its definitions and uses**
Alan J Thorpe and Hans Volkert
September 1997

76. **Superposing semi-geostrophic potential vorticity anomalies**
H R Birkett and A J Thorpe
September 1997

77. **Short period forecasting of catchment-scale precipitation.**
Part 1: the role of Numerical Weather Prediction
M A Pedder, M Haile and A J Thorpe
September 1997

78. **Verification of the tracking technique used in an experimental cloud motion wind inferring system**
Z Wang, K A Browning and G A Kelly
October 1997

79. **A preliminary study of Fourier series analysis for cloud tracking with GOES high temporal resolution images**
Z Wang
October 1997

80. **Analysis of an ex-tropical cyclone after reintensifying as a warm-core extratropical cyclone**
K A Browning, G Vaughan and P Panagi
November 1997

81. **A JCMM overview of FASTEX IOPs**
S A Clough, H W Lean, N M Roberts, H Birkett, J-P Chaboureau, R Dixon, M Griffiths, T D Hewson and A Montani
January 1998

82. **Programs for PV inversion and modification**
Meral Demirtas
December 1997

83. **Release of potential shearing instability in warm frontal zones**
D Chapman and K A Browning
February 1998

84. **The European Cloud-Resolving Modelling Programme (EUCREM)**
Summary Report from the coordinator
P W White
April 1998

85. **A frontal wave database**
T D Hewson
April 1998

86. **Layering observed in dropsoundings from IOP 1 of Fronts 92**
S A Clough
May 1998

87. **Use of wind-shear displays for Doppler radar data**
D Chapman and K A Browning
May 1998

88. **Radar estimates of rainfall rates at the ground in bright band and non-bright band events**
T J Smyth and A J Illingworth
June 1998

89. **Correction for attenuation of radar reflectivity using polarisation data**
T J Smyth and A J Illingworth
June 1998

90. **The effects of convection on chemical reactions and precipitation scavenging**
Neil R Gimson
July 1998

91. **How to use the display program DISP**
Nigel Roberts
August 1998

92. **Conceptual models of cyclogenesis**
Adrian T Semple
August 1998

93. **Observations of oblate hail using dual polarisation radar and implications for hail detection schemes**
T J Smyth, T M Blackman and A J Illingworth
September 1998

94. **The semigeostrophic diagnosis of vertical motion. Part I: Formulation and co-ordinate transformations**
Mike A Pedder and Alan J Thorpe
September 1998

95. **The semigeostrophic diagnosis of vertical motion. Part II: Results for an idealised baroclinic wave life cycle**
Alan J Thorpe and Mike A Pedder
September 1998

96. **Convective destabilisation by a tropopause fold diagnosed using potential vorticity inversion**
Morwenna Griffiths, Alan Thorpe and Keith Browning
October 1998

97. **Forecast skill of the ECMWF model using targeted observations during FASTEX**
A Montani, A J Thorpe, R Buizza and P Unden
October 1998

98. **Observations and model simulations of the FASTEX IOP 16 frontal wave - effects of sublimation**
Sid A Clough, Humphrey W Lean, Nigel M Roberts and Richard M Forbes
December 1998

99. **The application of conceptual models in NWP**
Adrian T Semple
January 1999

100. **Mesoscale analysis of arc rainbands in a dry slot**
K A Browning and N M Roberts
January 1999

101. **Interaction of mesoscale tropopause depressions with a warm-core cyclone: observational and model analyses, and sensitivity to analysis errors**
K A Browning, A J Thorpe, A Montani, D Parsons, M Griffiths, P Panagi and E M Dicks
February 1999

102. **The use of water vapour imagery and PV inversion to adjust initial conditions in NWP**
Sean J Swarbrick
February 1999
This report is no longer available; see Internal Report No.108

103. **Summary notes for a lecture course on Waves in the Atmosphere and Ocean**
A A White
March 1999

104. **Results of a questionnaire on the operational value of conceptual models (CMs) of fronts and cyclones**
N M Roberts & M V Young
July 1999

105. **Contributions to the Poster Session at the 1998 UWERN Annual Science Meeting**
assembled by A A White
August 1999

106. **The objective analysis of dropsonde data from FASTEX**
Richard Forbes, Sid Clough, Mark Dixon, Andy Macallan & Nigel Roberts
January 2000

107. *NWP Technical Report No.302*
Implications for Mesoscale Modelling from a Study of the FASTEX IOP 16 Mid-latitude Cyclone
Richard M Forbes, Humphrey W Lean, Nigel M Roberts and Peter A Clark
March 2000

108. *NWP Technical Report No.291*
The use of water vapour imagery and PV inversion to adjust initial conditions in NWP
Final Project Report
Sean J Swarbrick
September 1999

109. *NWP Technical Report No.294*
A guide to aspects of water vapour imagery interpretation: the significance of dry regions
Nigel M Roberts
January 2000

110. *NWP Technical Report No.300*
The relationship between water vapour imagery and thunderstorms
Nigel M Roberts
February 2000

111. *NWP Technical Report No.293*
Holomorphic structures in hydrodynamical models of nearly geostrophic flow
V N Roubtsov and I Roulstone
January 2000

Met Office Joint Centre for Mesoscale Meteorology Department of Meteorology
University of Reading PO Box 243 Reading RG6 6BB United Kingdom
Tel: +44 (0)118 931 8425 Fax: +44 (0)118 931 8791
www.metoffice.com